Water Conservation Showcase

MARCH 21 | SAN FRANCISCO

The Water/Energy Nexus: Lessons from Southern California

> JOIN THE CONVERSATION ON SOCIAL MEDIA #WCS2019



The 16th Annual Water Conservation Showcase is presented by





Thank you to our Event Sponsors for their support in making this event free to the public

Platinum Sponsor

Gold Sponsor

Silver Sponsor



STOPWASTE at home • at work • at school







Continuing Education

► USGBC GBCI

AIA

- LEED Professionals may self-report 9 continuing education hours.
- Log into your usgbc.org profile
- Report course number: 920018815







Energy Use Effects of Water Conservation and Local Supplies in Los Angeles

Erik Porse, PhD

Office of Water Programs at Sacramento State UCLA Institute of the Environment and Sustainability

> March 21, 2019 PGE 2019 Water Conservation Showcase





Water-Energy Nexus

Studying relationships between energy and water for human needs

- Energy-for-Water
- Water-for-Energy
- Why do we care?
 - Greenhouse gases (GHGs), cost savings, "averted" costs

▶ In California:

- California Energy Commission report (2005), California Public Utilities Commission decisions & reports (2007-2016)
- 2016: SB 1425 established a voluntary Water-Energy Nexus Registry to track GHGs

Systems Analysis: The Big Picture



time when the old fellow miraculously survived some big forest fire."

Water Conservation and Local Supply in LA: Changing a System



Opening of the Los Angeles Aqueduct, 1913. Source: waterandpower.org



Modeling Water Management in LA

10



Artes: A Network Model for Water Management



Flexible Objectives and Resolutions: Maximize local supplies, Minimize costs

Porse, E., KB Mika, E Litvak., K Manago, K Naik, M Glickfeld, T Hogue, D Pataki, M Gold, & S Pincetl (2017).

"Systems Analysis and Optimization of Local Water Supplies in Los Angeles." Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management, 143(9).

Artes is a Product of Many Conversations and Collaborations

Stephanie Pincetl Mark Gold Katie Mika Madelyn Glickfeld Felicia Federico Debbie Cheng Dan Cheng Claire Hirashiki Eric Fournier Hannah Gustafson Kartiki Naik

<u>UCLA</u>

Elsewhere

Gonzalo Cortes Brianna Pagan Steve Margulis Tom Gillespie Janet Rodriguez Sherry Jia Nick Nobles JR DeShazo Greg Pierce Henry McCann Nick Chow Kelsey Jessup Peter Kareiva Monobina Mukherjee Marcia Hale Paul Cleland Bill Yeh Vanessa Martin Sonali Abraham David Colgan Dennis Lettenmaier Cassie Rauser Rhianna Williams Daniel Swain Alex Hall Katharine Reich Scott Gruber Rob Cudd Sean Kennedy *Keith Mertan*

Terri Hogue Kim Manago Katharine Radavich Diane Pataki Liza Litvak Dong-ah Choi Youn Sim Lee Alexanderson Daniel Bradbury Grace Chan Delon Kwan Ken Manning

Jay Lund Bill Blomquist Fritz Raffensperger Edith de Guzman Annie Eby Mike Hollis Patrick Atwater Alvar Escriva-Bou Kelly Sanders Heather Cooley Ned Spang Soraya Manzor

Modeling Energy-for-Water Management in LA

Estimating Energy-for-Water in LA

Utilities

- Importing
- Conveying
- Treating
- Gross vs. Net

Households



Imported Sources



Calculating Results

Apply coefficients to links throughout the network

- Energy = flow * energy intensity
- Some Issues to consider:
 - Attribution
 - Is energy used by the wholesale or retail agency?
 - Gross vs. Net
 - Total energy use with or without offsets from produced energy
 - Total Energy Use vs. Energy Intensity



Technology / Water Source	Energy Intensity (kWh/acre-foot)	
	Low	High
<u>Groundwater</u>		
Pumping	580	
Treatment		
Conventional water treatment	98	130
Membrane-based water treatment	326	489
Secondary Treatment without nutrient removal	342	456
Tertiary treatment with nutrient removal and filtration	521	635
Membrane Bioreactor (MBR)	740	2,839
Brackish water desalination	1,010	2,020
Advanced water treatment	1,059	1,303
Imported Water		
Colorado River Aqueduct imported water	2,004	2,411
State Water Project imported water*	2,581 (4,110)	3,232 (4,520)
Conveyance	varies, based on elevation and distance	
Ocean desalination	3,096	4,806

Sources: Multiple, compiled in Mika et al (2017). Sustainable LA Project: City Wide Overview

* With and without system hydropower generation

Inputs: Making Assumptions

Modeling approach to calculate retailer-specific conveyance energy

- Bernoulli's Equation (potential, kinetic, & pressure head)
- Translate to power and energy

Modeling approach in lieu of mapping water pipes and properties



Parameter	Value
Dynamic head (kinetic)	(K*v²)/ 2g, assume consistent across systems
K (loss coefficient)	9.95
v (pipe velocity)	Flow (Q) divided by Pipe Cross-Sectional Area (A)
Pump flow	2500 m ³ /sec
Static Head (potential)	E1 – E2 (difference in elevation from source to end)
Pressure Energy	Pipe pressure = 50-60 psi, convert to head (1 psi = 2.31 ft)
Power	(Q*H*g*d)/pump efficiency
d , density of water	1000 kg/m3
Pump efficiency	.85



Results: Utility System Energy Use, by Process

Total Energy Use vs. Energy Intensity Gross vs. Net Energy Use Net Energy Use accounts for energy produced in system









Results: Conveyance Energy, by Retailer

Energy needed to pump water through retailer system

- Modeling approach identifies higher conveyance energy needs in retailers with hilly service territories
- Complements dataintensive assessments based on the water distribution network



Results: Seasonal Differences in Energy Intensity

Summer irrigation demands increase energy intensity
Assumes other operational parameters are constant

Average Monthly Energy Use (Gross vs Net) for Water Supply



Gross Energy Use Intensity, 100% Imported Supplies, December



Gross Energy Use Intensity, 100% Imported Supplies, July



Results: Household Energy-for-Water Use

Energy needs for hot water heating in homes

- ▶ 3.2 million households, 2.4 million parcels
- Indoor residential water heating = 268 Million Gallons/day using baseline indoor demands
- Assessed via Water Heater Analysis Model (WHAM) method (natural gas)
- Currently examining <u>electric grid</u> effects of electrifying water heaters

LA Energy Atlas: Monthly, Account-level Energy Use



Total Residential Use: ~200Tr BTU/yr

> Residential hot water estimate: 42.1 TrBTU/yr or 85,827 MW/month ~20% of LA County residential energy use

Future Water Supply Portfolio in LA City

Source	Energy Intensity (kWh/AF)	2013 Supply Volume (AF)	2013 Energy Use (GWh)	2035 Supply Volume (AF)	2035 Energy Use (GWh)
State Water Project East (MWD/DWP)	4,110	66,281	272	15,000	62
State Water Project West (MWD)	4,520	309,309	1,398	70,000	316
Colorado River Aqueduct (MWD)	2,000	66,281	133	15,000	30
Los Angeles Aqueduct (LADWP)	0	61,024	0	139,400	0
Groundwater	580	79,403	46	114100	66
Recycled Water	1,150	10,054	12	88,500	102
Stormwater	174	0	0	37,000	6
Total	-	592,352	1,861	479,000	582

Should consider the "full-cycles" of water supply

Source: Mika et al (2017). Sustainable LA Project: City Wide Overview

Energy Use for "Full Cycles" of Urban Water?

Sources	Stages to End-Use	Cost (\$/ac-ft)
Imported Water for Supply	Capture >> Conveyance >> Local Storage >> Treatment >> Delivery	\$1476-\$1,790
Imported Water for Recharge	Capture & Storage >> Conveyance >> Local Storage >> Conveyance >> Infiltration	\$1,325-\$1,639
Groundwater Pumping	Pumping >> Treatment >> Conveyance >> Delivery	\$739
Existing Large Stormwater Capture	Capture >> Filtering & Sedimentation >> Spreading & Infiltration >> Pumping >> Treatment >> Delivery	\$995
Proposed New Large Stormwater Capture	Capture >> Filtering & Sedimentation >> Spreading & Infiltration >> Pumping >> Treatment >> Delivery	\$1,110-\$2,727
Indirect Potable Reuse	Sewage Collection and Treatment >> Conveyance >> Spreading & Infiltration >> Pumping >> Treatment >> Delivery	\$1,551-\$2,641
Non-Potable Reuse	Sewage Collection and Treatment (tertiary and disinfection) >> Conveyance >> Delivery (irrigation, CII)	\$556-\$1,646
Direct Potable Reuse	Sewage Collection and Treatment >> Conveyance >> Delivery	-

Source: Porse et al (2018). "The Economic Value of Local Water Supplies in Los Angeles". Nature Sustainability

Some Insights

- Cutting imported water could save energy
- In-home energy-for-water use is much larger than utility operations
- Electrifying natural gas water heaters could reduce GHGs
- Need systems thinking to address energy-for-water planning



Switching to electric appliances improves public health and safety, can save money, and helps fight climate change too. It's one of the strategies our Mayors' Commission on Climate Change is pursuing @TheCityofSac and @cityofwestsac.



Converting buildings from gas to electric crucial to fighting climate... engagesac.org

M

16:26 · 3/19/19 · Twitter Web Client

Tweet your reply

Links

LA Water Hub

http://waterhub.ucla.edu

Artes Source Code and Data

https://erikporse.github.io/artes/

LA Energy Atlas

http://energyatlas.ucla.edu

<u>Contact</u>

erik.porse@owp.csus.edu @researchcp







Continuing Education

► USGBC GBCI

AIA

- LEED Professionals may self-report 9 continuing education hours.
- Log into your usgbc.org profile
- Report course number: 920018815





